

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/452,753	12/01/1999	EARL B. MANCHESTER	062891.0363	1712
75	90 11/15/2004		EXAM	INER
BAKER & BOTTS LLP			ODLAND, DAVID E	
2001 ROSS AV DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2662	
		DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004		‡

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>5</i> ^			
Advisory Action	09/452,753	MANCHESTER ET	AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Odland	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	,	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.6-20.22-29.33,37-39.41-43 and 47</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINE	, 5			
	i i	LINAMAN CAMBINAR	•			

Application/Control Number: 09/452,753

Art Unit: 2662

Continuation of Part 3: Although the after final amendments have not been entered, the proposed amendments appear to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection of claim 1-3 and 6-13 and these rejections would be withdrawn if the amendments were entered.

Continuation of Part 5c: the Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Specifically, the Applicant argues that Appanna does not disclose that each service channel comprises a CAS value. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim does not recite that the CAS values of each service channel are actually located within each of the service channels themselves. The claim merely recites that every service channel comprises a CAS signaling value. Appanna discloses this limitation. As shown in figure 4, Appanna discloses that each time slot carrying DS0 traffic has associated signaling bits located in time slot #4. Thus each service channel (i.e. time slot carrying DSO traffic in Appanna) does comprise associated signaling values, even though those values are carried in time slot #4. To more specifically claim the Applicant's invention it is suggested by the Examiner that the claim recite that the CAS signaling value of each service channel is located within each associated service channel.

Note, the proposed amendments have not been entered. However, upon Applicant's filing of an Appeal or Request for Continued Examination (RCE), the amendment will be entered before further prosecution proceeds.